To: CN=Tom Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]

Cc: [] Bcc: []

From: CN=Roger Gorke/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US

Sent: Mon 5/14/2012 5:48:53 PM
Subject: Re: One last quip re: NAS study

Wow, that is amazing. I have heard the "lack of information" argument from others. Isn't that the main criticism from the resource agencies?

Roger Gorke Senior Policy Advisor Office of Water United States Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Ave NW, MC 4101 M Washington, DC 20460

Phone: 202-564-0470 Fax: 202-564-0500

From: Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US

To: Roger Gorke/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 05/14/2012 01:37 PM Subject: One last quip re: NAS study

The NAS report (most recent one) did have more on WQ per se. But the point they made that struck me is that six years into the BDCP (the whole point of which is to build an isolated conveyance facility), the NAS explicitly refused to endorse the isolated conveyance. Here's their quote:

"The committee has not analyzed the benefits and disadvantages of an isolated conveyance facility, because not enough specific information was available about it, and we make no recommendation with respect to its adoption as a major part of water management in the Delta." NRC, Sustainable Water and Environmental Management in the California Bay-Delta, March 2012, at page 7.

Kind of amazing.

Tom Hagler
Assistant Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 9
75 Hawthorne Street, RC-2
San Francisco, California 94105-3901

Phone: (415) 972-3945

Email: hagler.tom@epamail.epa.gov